Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/762,243	TAKAYAMA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jason L. Savage	1775
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Jason L. Savage</u> .	(3) <u>Chris Wickstrom (#57199)</u> .	
(2) <u>Ronald Kananen</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 4 January 2007	Time: <u>12:00</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A		
Claims discussed:		
12, 19		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Applicant gave the Examiner permission to amdend the claims by Examiner's Amendment to remove limitations which were superfluous given the amendment to independent claim 6.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>□ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
·		•
		a see the see that the see that the see
MAN 2		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		